INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, Arizona convened at 9:00 a.m., February 19, 2002, in the Board of Supervisors' Conference Room, Tenth Floor, 301 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present: Don Stapley, Chairman; Fulton Brock, Vice Chairman; Andy Kunasek (entered late), Max Wilson, and Mary Rose Wilcox. Also present: Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board; Connie Copeland, Minutes Coordinator; David Smith, County Administrative Officer; and Paul Golab, Deputy County Attorney. Votes of the Members will be recorded as follows: (aye-no-absent-abstain).

DISCUSSION: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) PRIORITIES FOR FY 2002-2003

Office of Management and Budget: Discussion and possible approval of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Priorities for FY 2002-2003. The discussion will include an update on the development of the recommended CIP for the General Fund, Parks and Recreation, Transportation and MIHS, as well as, estimated sources of funding or funding options. (C49020388) (ADM1820)

Sandi Wilson, Deputy County Administrator, introduced the presentation and asked the Board of Supervisors for policy direction on priorities for FY 2002-2003. Ms. Wilson presented an overview of the day's agenda which included recommendations of the Capitol Improvement Program (CIP) for the General Fund, Parks and Recreation, Transportation, Maricopa Integrated Health System (MIHS), and Flood Control District funding options. Ms. Wilson reported that the General Fund cash balance to fund \$238 million of the current CIP was not anticipated to be achieved. The County can fund \$205 million which leaves \$32.9 million without funding. She advised downsizing the CIP, considering finance to fund the complete CIP or using State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP) funding to supplement the General Fund. Supervisor Brock clarified that SCAAP provided federal funding for incarcerated, undocumented aliens within the jail system.

Ms. Wilson reported that the current balance in SCAAP is \$14.2 million. Two projects were recommended to the Board: Homeless Campus, estimated at \$6.8 million and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office Training Academy, estimated at \$3.7 million. If both projects receive funding, the SCAAP balance would be \$3.7 million with another \$3 million to be awarded this year. This brings the expected balance to \$6.7 million. As there is some concern that this funding source may be eliminated, Supervisor Wilcox asked that the County's lobbyists track the Federal Government's funding of SCAAP. Chairman Stapley noted that the County only receives thirty-three percent of actual costs from this funding source and may be able to find another funding source for programs currently funded by SCAAP. He asked that the County track the actual costs of these programs.

Ms. Wilson reported that representatives of the Parks and Recreation Department would discuss the Cave Creek Land Acquisition which does not have an identified funding source. She also advised that the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) is being targeted by the State Legislature in their budget balancing efforts. Ms. Wilson advised that a zero-based budget review would result in \$3.923 million more CIP funding to offset revenue shortfalls.

~ Supervisor Andy Kunasek entered the room at this time ~

Tom Buick, Director and County Engineer, Department of Transportation, explained there are two categories of HURF funding for transportation projects. One is formula-based and restricted to design/construction of highway systems only. The other is funding that goes to the State for distribution

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

and is designed for federal programs which are not directly dedicated to Maricopa County. He noted that historically Arizona receives ninety percent of federal contributions. Other states do better. Discussion ensued regarding the percentages other states receive. Mr. Buick agreed to get the actual figures for the Board

Sandi Wilson continued that Maricopa Integrated Health System (MIHS) projects could be funded from MIHS operating funds. Presently, projects are continuing based on funding from issuances of Certificates of Participation (COP). Projects without a funding source include the hospital renovations and new wing. Ms. Wilson added that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) would provide any necessary follow-up meetings with the Board of Supervisors on the five-year CIP prior to adoption of the final budget in June.

Chairman Stapley asked David Smith, County Administrator, to clarify why the CIP priorities were on the Board's agenda for "discussion and action" but would only be discussed today. Mr. Smith stated that the County was in a complex situation due to current economic times and was awaiting Legislative action. The County is caught in the middle of construction projects during this economic downturn. He explained the need for discussion to decide how to provide for the County's growth while avoiding over-extending County resources. Mr. Smith stated that the jail and hospital projects could stress the operating budget.

Supervisor Brock noted that funding jail operations was not discussed as part of the CIP. He asked what the County would do if the Legislature does not fund the jail. Would funds be available in the Operating Budget? Sandi Wilson responded that OMB would brief the Board on the Operating Budget within the next two to three weeks. At this time, it is assumed that operating expenses will be funded through the General Fund. In FY 2003, \$50 million has been set aside from General Operating Funds. Supervisor Brock stated that there would be a \$25 million shortfall. Would other programs be tapped to fund the jail? Ms. Wilson replied that the \$50 million would not be sufficient to operate the jail because operating expenses are estimated to be between \$70 to \$80 million. However, operating expenses of this amount would not be needed for a few years because the jail will not be fully operational for two to three years. Ms. Wilson stated that the shortfall is a big problem for Maricopa County.

Norm Hintz, Director of Facilities Management, presented options for facilities-related CIP projects. He offered two options for the new Administration building. The County could fully fund the construction of the Administration Building and begin construction within ninety days or defer construction until after July 1, 2003. Mr. Hintz noted that the County committed \$3 million in expenditures this year for site preparations.

Regarding Public Health projects, Mr. Hintz stated that on December 17, 2001, the Board directed staff to terminate selection of an architect. The Board could now elect to fully fund the projects or delete funding from the CIP. He added that perhaps the projects could be funded from non-General Funds.

Mr. Hintz proposed the County supplement funding with SCAAP funds for the Homeless Campus and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office Training Center. Previously \$2.1 million was approved for site development of the Homeless Campus only. The Sheriff's Training Center is less that thirty percent funded through the General Fund. Mr. Hintz asked for Board direction to assist with scheduling projects. He reported that construction of the Forensic Science Center and 7th Avenue parking garage were on target and funded.

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

Supervisor Wilcox questioned whether the Sheriff's Office first priority was the training academy or the Buckeye Hills Shooting Range. Bill Williams, Deputy Chief, Custody Support Bureau, Sheriff's Office, submitted a letter from David Hendershott, Chief Deputy, confirming the training academy is the number one priority. Mr. Williams added that the shooting range was a separate entity. Chairman Stapley noted that the cost of the shooting range is a total of \$3 million. He acknowledged that the Del Webb Corporation donated \$500,000 to the project. The County is also looking at other funding sources.

Responding to questions from Chairman Stapley, David Smith advised the Board to consider the delaying construction of the Administration Building project for one year. Supervisor Wilcox asked what additional costs the County would incur from a year-long delay. Supervisor Wilcox asked for a full briefing on the options. She suggested that the Board consider a three-month delay until the legislative impacts could be assessed. She noted that at previous briefings staff advised that there would be considerable costs associated with delaying the project.

Supervisor Kunasek also wanted information about costs for delaying the administration building project, and he also questioned whether the training academy provided office space for the Sheriff's Department. Mr. Hintz reported that there were no specific projects for administrative offices for the Sheriff's Office a the training academy. He added that there was a Master Plan identifying twenty acres at Durango for a training center, crime lab, and administration offices. The crime lab and administration offices are future projects. At this time, the training academy only includes a command center. If the Board delayed the training academy project for eighteen months, there may be a five-percent increase in costs from \$132 million to \$140 million.

Supervisor Brock noted that his primary concerns were for the hospital projects and to receive legislative authority for a Hospital District. He asked if the outside accounting firm had completed analysis of the hospital. The Board needs the evaluations to better review the hospital and make informed decisions. He noted the County has many projects on the plate for CIP funding.

Chairman Stapley summarized that there are many complex projects dependent on CIP funding. He stated that a delay until after the legislative session might not be adequate. The Legislature may be called into a special session. Chairman Stapley stated that the Board must decide on the priorities. He noted that the jail, training academy and the administration building were all projects that would better serve the public. Maricopa County is acting as a regional leader by providing for the homeless population. Spending money now will result in savings later. Supervisor Wilson added that he has concerns that money be available for salary increases for County employees. He advised that all these issues needed further study.

Supervisor Wilcox asked if the Forensic Science Building project was proceeding on time. Bob Williams, Director of Criminal Justice Facilities Development, advised that the Forensic Science Center is scheduled for completion this summer and is currently on-schedule.

Supervisor Brock stated that the Public Health Facility projects must be decided between two options. There is \$11.9 million for a five-year total in CIP costs alone. Mr. Hintz advised that no staff or equipment were included in the total. Discussion ensued regarding the option of moving the clinics only to the 16th Street and Roosevelt site. Mr. Hintz noted the other option that he proposed to the Board last week, that the County consider moving Public Health Administration and Environmental Services Administration from the New Administration Building to the 16th Street site or the MIHS campus. Supervisor Brock stated that the Board would wait for the accounting review before deciding.

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

Bill Scalzo, Chief Community Services Officer and Director of the Parks and Recreation Department, highlighted partnerships the County made with regard to Spur Cross Ranch and the San Tan Park facility. Mr. Scalzo gave an overview of the Cave Creek Recreation Area. He reported that in 1998 the County applied to the State under the Arizona Preserve Initiative Act (API) to acquire 800 acres. The purpose of the Cave Creek Recreation Area API was to purchase the designated land for expansion of the recreation area to protect it from development and allow for possible expansion of park facilities. Mr. Scalzo explained that when the State approved the County's API application for Cave Creek Recreation Area, the five-year clock started. He advised that the County needs \$4 to \$6 million to match funds available from the State through the Growing Smarter Initiative to move forward with this project.

Mr. Scalzo warned that the McDowell Mountain Park expansion was also at risk and should be acquired in 2003 before the conservation designation disappears. The application process takes over one year to complete. He proposed acquiring the 300 acres, one parcel each year, beginning in 2003.

In response to questions from Supervisor Brock, Mr. Scalzo reported that the County is competing for the API funds with other cities. He explained other municipalities could not preserve these particular lands as they are in unincorporated areas. The exception is the Cave Creek Area project. He continued that the Town of Cave Creek is financially tapped out by other projects. The County is without governing partners on these projects because the lands must be within the jurisdictions of the governing bodies. Supervisor Brock asked if private businesses could be asked to participate. Mr. Scalzo responded that Del Webb/Pulte Corporation has already made commitments to the County on other projects. He noted that Maricopa County would welcome private business partnerships. Mr. Scalzo added that other communities were ahead of the County because they sold special bond issues. Scottsdale and Phoenix were going after large tracks of land.

In response to questions from Supervisor Wilson, Mr. Scalzo explained that McDowell Mountain Park is not appraised. The appraisal will be done by the State about six months after application and a funding source is identified. The appraisal is for the highest and best use for conservation purposes. Chairman Stapley stated that the County needs a program to identify what we can undertake subject to the appraisals. We must also set aside funding in the Parks' budget and evaluate where the County can make a difference. The Board needs to evaluate other County holdings as well.

Supervisor Kunasek noted that the San Tan land was purchased solely with County funds. He suggested that Mr. Scalzo look to see if API funds was available retroactively. Mr. Scalzo agreed to check but stated funds were not likely to be available retroactively.

Supervisor Brock stated that District 1 did not have a County park. If funding were not available for Cave Creek land, Supervisor Brock suggested spending money to develop the San Tan Park. He also suggested partnering with the Town of Queen Creek, and the Cities of Chandler and Mesa. If State funding is unavailable, look for other private partners to help acquire that property.

Supervisor Wilcox noted that other cities are in competition with the County for State dollars. She directed staff in the next few weeks to get more information on what land other cities were contemplating acquiring. Mr. Scalzo responded that Scottsdale and Phoenix already secured major bonding capacity. He would check if those officials have changed their plans. Chairman Stapley directed staff to put together an entire program that would include funding sources such as using sinking funds and matching funds to acquire lands within the next five years. Mr. Scalzo responded that he would work with OMB to identify a potential program.

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

Tom Buick, Chief Officer, Public Works, reported that the Transportation CIP includes 98 different projects and spans five years although some projects were already in progress. The Transportation Advisory Board would be meeting that night and would likely have recommendations for the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Buick reported that the total program is \$750 million with \$332 million anticipated for the first year. For FY 2003, it is estimated that HURF funding will show a decrease of \$27.6 million which will be offset by funds uncovered in the zero-based budget review which is expected to yield \$29.6 million over five years.

Mr. Buick highlighted several transportation projects including Gilbert Road at McDowell which would extend construction to SR 87. This would increase expenditures from \$7.9 million to \$15.3 million with partnerships from Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), City of Mesa, Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) and the Indian Community. These partners increased their contributions from \$400,000 to \$3 million. Mr. Buick summarized another major project, Loop 303 from Grand Avenue to Lake Pleasant Road which has undergone major changes due to rapid growth in the area. Four lanes are anticipated on Grand and a bridge over the Agua Fria River with construction expenditures estimated at \$22.5 million to \$38.6 million. Developer partners and the City of Peoria have contributed \$15.7 million. Mr. Buick also highlighted the Agua Fria Bridge repair, El Mirage right-of-way, and paying the County's share for construction on Higley Road.

Mr. Buick reviewed FY 2003 budget risks. The County estimates a 50 percent risk for funding the Loop 303 Intergovernmental Agreements (IGA). Through negotiations, the developers are contributing \$8.6 million. There is a 75 percent risk for Gilbert Road IGA negotiations, and 100 percent risk for Agua Fria Bridge repair, but funding is almost sure. Mr. Buick stated that due to almost double contributions from partners the FY2003 Budget reflects a 40 percent increase of \$31 million from the previous year. Mr. Buick reported that 75 percent of the budget is designated for construction projects. There are 29 projects under construction this coming fiscal year; 7 are on-going, 22 will start, 19 will be completed this year, and 10 will continue into FY 2004.

Mr. Chuck Williams, Manager of Capital Improvements, responded to questions from Chairman Stapley that eleven of the projects are for dust mitigation. Phase one in the full mitigation project is complete; phase two will bid in May or June, and phase three will bid later in the year. Approximately 23 miles of roads will have been paved by next year. Half of the funding for dust mitigation will come from federal funds.

Mr. Buick described other projects that provide tangible benefits to the public including the Deer Valley Road Bridge, Power Road Bridge, Winslow Avenue, upgrading traffic signals, and the Grand Avenue Overpass. Supervisor Kunasek commented that the County should only build projects if partners contribute. Mr. Buick responded that the County only builds what is fully funded. Others must contribute before we proceed. He described projects with partnerships and explained the funding process.

Supervisor Brock asked how much of the scope changes include soft money. He also asked about sovereign rights and partner contribution increases. Mr. Buick explained one arrangement negotiated with the Indian Community for \$2.1 million is for right-of-way on Thomas Road north of the Beeline Highway. He noted that most of the increased contribution (\$3 million) comes from the City of Mesa in an agreement with the County. Mr. Buick clarified that the amounts shown were not soft money. Mr. Buick reported that the increase in the budget by \$7.4 million for this project is not attributable to the Gilbert Road construction project but rather to the County's participation on the Val Vista project, which is the extension of McDowell Road to SR87 with the addition of a sound wall. Mr. Buick explained changing the bridge to a low flow crossing and using reserves from last year make up the difference in costs.

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

Discussion ensued regarding the need for a sound wall. Chairman Stapley confirmed that the area needed the wall. Many homes on the corridor along McDowell Road are only enclosed by chain link fences, and the other side of the freeway has a sound wall. He added that the Indian Community requested this wall.

In response to questions about the number of miles that the county will contribute to Loop 303, Mr. Buick reported that eight miles to Lake Pleasant will be contributed by Maricopa County Department of Transportation (MCDOT). Phase one of this project in the current CIP is for 4.5 miles to El Mirage. Mr. Williams added that staff is completing the design concept for extending Loop 303 to the south, but the project is not anticipated to begin at this time.

Mr. Buick advised that the acquired right-of-way is an alternate freeway build-out; the community was not interested in a freeway at this time. Mr. Williams advised the estimated total to complete the Loop 303 is \$40.5 million. The county has spent \$6.5 million to date which leaves a balance of \$34.1 million. The County expects contributions of \$15.7 million from the community, and the County is slated to contribute \$18.4 million.

Discussion ensued regarding the County's role in completing the freeway verses ADOT and the Federal Government. Mr. Buick explained that Loop 303 is unique to Maricopa County because in the State's shortfall, ADOT divested itself of several projects including Loop 303. The Loop already had \$10 million invested in right-of-way design work. The Westside community advised that this Loop was critical to their investments. In an agreement between the Board of Supervisors and ADOT, MCDOT became stewards of Loop 303. Although the County has no new money source, there is good history of building partnerships. Because of a reverter clause that states that if progress is not made, the property will be returned to the original owners, and because the County could lose the Loop 303 potential alignments, the County decided to proceed. In the future, the State may take back the lead on the project.

Supervisor Brock questioned whether Maricopa County had a responsibility to build freeway systems with other current financial responsibilities. However, he praised the partnerships which have been built with cities and towns. He also emphasized the importance for "park and ride" facilities.

Chuck Williams clarified that four projects for Loop 303 will go to bid this year and are safety projects completed with a contribution of \$2 million from ADOT.

Discussion ensued regarding the need for updates from the legislature. Mr. Buick mentioned that the Governor's Vision 21 Task Force has made recommendations. They want to restructure the State Transportation Board and add three members, two from Maricopa County and one from Pima County. They are also interested in extending the one-half cent sales tax put forward by Phoenix. The Task Force stated that funding is needed, and the sales tax is part of their recommendation. The legislature wants to determine who will have oversight of new funding before discussing funding sources. Discussion ensued regarding the importance of regional authority for transportation issues. Supervisor Wilcox stressed the need for Maricopa County to play a major role in deciding regional transportation issues.

Chairman Stapley declared a five-minute recess while the Maricopa Integrated Health System staff set up their presentation. Chairman Stapley reconvened the meeting at 11:00 a.m. with all Members present.

Mark Hillard, CEO, Maricopa Integrated Health System (MIHS), stated that three projects; the Hospice Care Unit, 1st Floor Clinic Space, and the Desert Vista Family Health Center; are anticipated to be built with the Hospital's own earnings without any contribution from the General Fund. Mr. Hillard reported that MIHS hopes to build the Hospice Care Unit on the hospital campus in the current psychiatric annex area.

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

There is a private entrance that would be an asset to a hospice facility. Currently, the Department of Family Medicine occupies the space. The estimated cost for renovation is \$192,000. In response to questions, Mr. Hillard advised that currently the County purchases hospice care services. This facility would be a good economic investment, help to shorten the stay of hospital patients, and provide an important service for patients.

Supervisor Wilcox suggested using the facility to provide correctional care because the psych unit is already retrofitted for security. She suggested that the fourth floor might be an alternative for hospice care. The hospital could provide a needed service for correctional care that could be economically good for the hospital. Supervisor Wilcox asked that before the next Board meeting, the County lobbyist explore if a funding source is available from Correctional Health Care. Mr. Hillard stated that they would look at those suggestions, but he cautioned there were concerns related to the original purpose of the building and security issues related to hospice care.

In response to questions from Supervisor Kunasek, Mr. Hillard reported that the hospice care unit would provide twelve patient rooms. Currently, the County contracts for services throughout the County. The goal is to serve patients in the zip codes around the hospital. He explained that the intent is not to change the payer mix of the hospital; hospice services will be provided on a break-even basis.

Mr. Hillard described the minor renovation of the 1st Floor Clinic Space to provide room for a Family Medicine Clinic, the Department of Eligibility, and to expand the Burn Clinic to include a small waiting room. Estimated cost is \$100,000.

Desert Vista Family Health Center was reviewed next. Mr. Hillard explained the request for \$1.5 million to renovate the Desert Vista Campus to include the Mesa Family Health Center component. This would be a good addition to the behavioral health services provided at Desert Vista. Mesa Family Health Center is the first or second busiest clinic in the County and has grown from 32,000 to 60,000 members.

Lastly, Mr. Hillard presented the vision to replace the existing patient bed tower as outlined in the MIHS Master Plan developed by Stichler and Associates. He explained that the Maricopa Medical Center (MMC) is in dire need of replacement, repair, and renovations. The Stichler report estimated the costs at \$208 million. However, the estimates may be too low; costs may go as high as \$222 million. The plan includes new patient bed tower, Emergency Department, ICU's, OR's, Burn Center, Ambulatory Center and all associated support spaces. Mr. Hillard reported that MMC needs ICU beds as the hospital is at capacity with four patients to a room. He emphasized that this project is included in the CIP but is without funding.

Discussion ensued regarding the previous MMC renovations in 1997 that only provided asbestos remediation and replacement of boilers and chillers. In response to questions from Supervisor Brock, Mr. Hillard gave an overview of the current status of the Family Health Centers. He reported that many had received only a facelift. There are six or seven that need to be replaced; costs are estimated at \$4 million not including land costs. Mr. Hillard noted that Maricopa County is not mandated to provide health care centers. Some centers struggle to break even with the population served.

Supervisor Brock questioned whether the County was locating centers strategically. He emphasized the importance of attracting a Medical School to Maricopa County to help bolster public image of MMC so that more people will use the services. He noted that it would be important to find a way to encourage County employees to use the County health care system. Discussion ensued regarding moving the hospital with regard to the deed restrictions on the property. Supervisor Kunasek suggested that the County spend a little more money to purchase land not clouded by deed restrictions. Mr. Hillard reported

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

that the current deed restriction states that the County must have a health care presence with a teaching element. It could be a one-room clinic with a residency program. We could turn the existing facility into a health center and move MMC anywhere. Supervisor Kunasek stated that if the County were going to invest \$ 250 million, it should be on land with a clear title.

Supervisor Wilson directed staff to submit a return on investment report on the proposed project. Mr. Hillard responded that the proposed project is not for generating new revenue, but will replace the facility to serve existing patients. Chairman Stapley confirmed the need for this analysis.

Supervisor Wilcox praised the Desert Vista site as perfect for the Mesa Family Clinic. She asked staff to replace the hard chairs at MMC in the emergency waiting room which have been in service for many years. Mr. Hillard agreed to check into replacing the chairs but noted that the County may not want to put money into a building slated to be torn down. He stated that each year in the "Resident Review" ER is graded lower than other components with comments as to whether the Residency Program should continue at MMC. Mr. Hillard explained that the ER is one of the busiest in the State and provides excellent services.

Supervisor Brock stated that Maricopa is the largest county without an alleopathic medical school and the only county without federal assistance. He suggested that the County consider forming a Citizen's Advisory Committee to provide input and to help promote the project to the community. He also suggested that the University of Arizona (U of A) add a medical school here. In response to questions from Supervisor Brock, Mr. Hillard stated that MIHS would love to work with the U of A on a new medical school.

Discussion ensued regarding other cities with similar challenges. Mr. Hillard explained other hospitals that serve in safety net capacities receive local tax support and federal assistance for disproportionate share. He emphasized that if MIHS had either funding assistance, we would fund replacement facilities in two years or less. As it stands, we can only do cosmetic projects.

Mr. Hillard emphasized that MMC facility is technically sound and safe. He reported that we are waiting on the five-year projection analysis from Deloitte Touche, the accounting firm hired by the County. Sandi Wilson advised that the study should be ready in the next few weeks. Mr. Hillard added that because of Senate Bill 1577, the County now has the authority to start a Foundation and perhaps seat the Foundation Board with a citizens group. The Foundation formation has not been approved by the Board of Supervisors, but will be on a future agenda.

MEETING RECESSED

The Board of Supervisors recessed the meeting until after the Flood Control District meeting.

MEETING RECONVENED

The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, Arizona reconvened at 11:30 a.m., February 19, 2002, in the Board of Supervisors' Conference Room, Tenth Floor, 301 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present: Don Stapley, Chairman; Fulton Brock, Vice Chairman; Andy Kunasek, Max Wilson, and Mary Rose Wilcox. Also present: Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board; Connie Copeland, Minutes Coordinator; David Smith, County Administrative Officer; and Paul Golab, Deputy County Attorney. Votes of the Members will be recorded as follows: (aye-no-absent-abstain).

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

Chairman Stapley asked David Smith, County Administrative Officer, for his input on the entire CIP. Mr. Smith commented that the Board had a good discussion and overview of the main CIP topics. He reported that he would interact with Board Members separately and would bring the budget information to them as soon as it was ready.

EXECUTIVE SESSION CALLED

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Wilson, and unanimously carried that the Board go into Executive Session, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03, to discuss the following items:

LEGAL ADVICE, PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION -- A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) AND (A)(4)

Compromise Cases

Steve Criscione, Christine Davis, Salvador Figueroa, Mohammed Hassan, Ildefonso Inclan, Esmerelda Llamas, Carlos Martinez, Dustin Mills, Scott Morris, Stefan Morris, Beatriz Nogueron (Soriano), Jose L. Quiroz, Jeffrey Rumback, Trinidad Salgado, Tiffany Thompson, James Weenen.

Barbara Caldwell, Outside Counsel

Write-off Cases

Larry Ceballos, John Rubel, Daniel Whiteman. Barbara Caldwell, Outside Counsel

PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION; PURCHASE, SALE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY -- A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(4) AND (A)(7)

Homeless Campus

Norm Hintz, Director, Facilities Management
Jaime Fontes, Real Estate
Bob Corley, Real Estate
Neil Urban, Facilities Management
Jean Rice, Deputy County Attorney, Division of County Counsel
Rick Garnett, Deputy County Attorney, Division of County Counsel
Terry Eckhardt, Deputy County Attorney, Division of County Counsel

<u>LEGAL ADVICE; PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION; SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO AVOID OR RESOLVE LITIGATION -- A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) AND (A)(4)</u>

Drabicki v. Heron Financial Corporation II, et al. CV2001-010675 Sandor Shuch, Deputy County Attorney, Division of County Counsel

Maricopa County v. Bradley & Laurie Jenkins, et al. Maricopa County Superior Court No. CV 99-007549

Richard A. Stewart, Deputy County Attorney, Division of County Counsel Susan L. Hable, Deputy County Attorney, Division of County Counsel Michael D. Frazelle, Co-Counsel for Maricopa County Patrick Walz, Chief Financial Officer, MIHS

INFORMAL SESSION February 19, 2002

MEETING ADJOURNED

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.	
ATTEST:	Don Stapley, Chairman of the Board
Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board	